**OTHER AGENCIES CHECKED:** 

SENSITIVE	
RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION	

EEN	RECEIVED ERAL ELECTION		RECEIVED
	CUMMISSIUM		FEDERAL ELECTION
	ECRETARIAT	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION	COMMISSION
2013 F	EB -6 AM 11: 14	999 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20463	2013 FEB -6 AH 10: 59
4		washington, D.C. 20403	
5		FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPOR	T CELA
6			<b>3227</b>
7		MUR: 6522	
8		DATE COMPLAINT FILED:	January 20, 2012
9		DATE OF NOTIFICATION:	• •
10			April 24, 2012
11		DATE OF LAST RESPONSE	
12		DATE ACTIVATED: Octobe	r 9, 2012
13		EPS: 35 / TIER: 2	2 2016
14 15		EXPIRATION OF SOL: Febr	•
16		10 Ja	anuary 27, 2017
17	COMPLAINANT:	Sean Murphy	
18	COM DAMANT.	Souli Waipily	
19	<b>RESPONDENTS:</b> <sup>1</sup>	Lisa Wilson-Foley for Congres	ss and William M. Kolo
20		in his official capacity as treas	
21		Lisa Wilson-Foley	
22		All Star Therapy, LLC	
23		Blue Fox Enterprises, Inc.	
24		Apple Health Care, Inc.	
25			
26	RELEVANT STAT		
27	AND REGULATION	• • • •	
28 29		2 U.S.C. § 434(b)	
30		2 U.S.C. § 441a(a) 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f)	
31		2 U.S.C. § 441b(a)	
32		11 C.F.R. § 100.26	
33		11 C.F.R. § 100.29	
34		11 C.F.R. § 100.52(d)	
35		11 C.F.R. § 104.3	
36		11 C.F.R. § 109.21	
37			
38	INTERNAL REPO	RTS CHECKED: Disclosure Reports	
39			

U.S. Department of Justice

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CELA notified LWF Holdings, Inc./Medstat Pharmacy, LLC, in MUR 6522 but rescinded the notification by letter dated April 24, 2012.

On April 12, 2012, Lisa Wilson-Foley for Congress amended its Statement of Organization to name William M. Kolo as treasurer.

## 1 I. BACKGROUND

The Complaint alleges that Lisa Wilson-Foley, a candidate for the U.S. Ho	House c	of
---	---------	----

- 3 Representatives in the Connecticut Fifth Congressional District, violated the Federal
- 4 Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, (the "Act") by receiving in-kind
- 5 contributions from her businesses.<sup>3</sup> According to the Complaint, Wilson-Foley's
- 6 appearance in television advertisements for Apple Health Care, Inc., ("Apple Health"),
- 7 which were also pusted on YouTube, constitutes a corporate contribution from Apple
- 8 Health to Wilson-Foley and her committee, Lisa Wilson-Foley for Congress (the
- 9 "Committee"). The Complaint also alleges that postings featuring Wilson-Foley, the
- 10 Committee, or both, on the Facebook pages of All Star Therapy, LLC, ("All Star") and
- Blue Fox Enterprises, Inc., ("Blue Fox"), and on All Star's website, are unlawful
- corporate contributions from those companies to Wilson-Foley and the Committee. After
- 13 reviewing the available information, we recommend that the Commission find no reason
- 14 to believe that respondents violated the Act and close the file.<sup>4</sup>

15

Wilson-Foley lost the August 14, 2012, primary election.

Complaints in two other matters, MURs 6566 and 6604, also involve allegedly impermissible inkind contributions to Lisa Wilson-Foley for Congress. Both of those matters include the allegation that Apple Health paid John Rowland, a former Governor of Connecticut, as a "consultant" for campaign work Rowland provided to the Committee. That allegation is also the subject of a criminal investigation by the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Connecticut. See Mary Ellen Godin, Feds Look Into Rowland's Deal with Husband of 5th District Candidate, REC.-J., June 18, 2012, available at <a href="http://www.myrecordjournal.com/local/article\_gee7db6c-b993-11e1-ab53-0019bb2963f4.html">http://www.myrecordjournal.com/local/article\_gee7db6c-b993-11e1-ab53-0019bb2963f4.html</a>. We address MURs 6586 and 6664 in a saparate Memorandum to the Commission, recommending that these MURs be held in abevance.

## 1 II. ANALYSIS

2	A.	<b>Factual</b>	<b>Background</b>

- The Complaint alleges that three of Wilson-Foley's businesses Apple Health,
- 4 All Star, and Blue Fox made impermissible in-kind corporate contributions to Wilson-
- 5 Foley and the Committee.<sup>5</sup>
- The Complaint points to ads aired on television by Apple Health, and posted on
- 7 YouTube, in which Wilson-Foley makes the following statements:
- I'm Lisa Wilson-Foley. Our family hes been providing quality health care for over 20 years. At Apple Rehab you'll have a private room and gourmet meals. As a physical therapist I'll make sure our staff is top notch to get you healthy in a hurry.
- 13 Apple your way back.
- 14
- 15 See Committee Resp. (Oct. 25, 2012); "Apple Rehab Golf,"
- 16 http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bfUx9kWzaXs&feature=youtu.be (uploaded April 20,
- 17 2011); see also Aff. of Janet Peckinpaugh, Apple Health Media Consultant, Wilson-Foley
- 18 & Committee Resp., Ex. B ¶¶ 6-7, 9-12 (March 12, 2012).6
- Wilson-Foley and the Committee acknowledge in their response that Apple
- 20 Health aired television advertising featuring Wilson-Foley & Committee
- Resp. at 1. According to respondents, however, the ads did not air after Jenuary 27,
- 22 2012, when the election erring communication window was open. See id. at 4; Aff. of

Apple Health is a Connecticut corporation whose president is Brian Foley, the husband of Wilson-Foley. All Star is a Connecticut LLC whose sole member is LWF Holdings, Inc., whose sole officer is Wilson-Foley. Blue Fox is a Connecticut corporation whose president is Wilson-Foley. See <a href="http://www.concord-sots.ct.gov/CONCORD/online?sn=PublicInquiry&eid=9740">http://www.concord-sots.ct.gov/CONCORD/online?sn=PublicInquiry&eid=9740</a>.

The Complaint cites two Apple Health ads on YouTube that allegedly aired on television, Compl. at 1-2, but one of the links does not work. Counsel for Wilson-Folcy and the Committee told us in a telephone call that Wilson-Folcy makes the same statements in both ads.

1	Kevin Bauer, Apple Health Media Consultant, Wilson-Foley & Committee Resp., Ex. C
2	¶ 8.
3	The Complaint also alleges that certain postings featuring Wilson-Foley, the
4	Committee, or both, on the Facebook pages of All Star and Blue Fox, and on All Star's
5	website, are corporate contributions from those companies to the Committee.
6 7 8	• A Wilson-Foley posting on her candidate Facebook account wishing All Star "employees, clients, patients and families a safe and wonderful New Year!"
9 10 11	• Posting that All Star owner Wilson-Foley will appear on a redio talk show that "frequently has political candidates on as guests."
12 13 14	<ul> <li>All Star website identifying Wilson-Foley as its owner and referring to her candidacy for Connecticut Lieutenant Governor in 2010.</li> </ul>
15 16 17	<ul> <li>Blue Fox posting, "If you get the chance please follow Lisa Wilson-Foley on her Facebook page wilsonfoley2012. She needs more friends."</li> </ul>
18 19 20	<ul> <li>Blue Fox posting with the Committee and a picture of the candidate under "Likes."</li> </ul>
21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Blue Fox posting titled "Lisa Wilson-Foley for Congress," with a picture of Wilson-Foley and stating "Lisa Wilson-Foley is a proven job creator who will fight for the families and small businesses of Connecticut's Fifth District!"</li> </ul>
25 26 27 28	<ul> <li>Blue Fox website identifying Wilson-Foley as the owner and stating that "[i]n 2011 she announced her intentions to run for U.S. Congress form Connecticut's 5th Congressional District."</li> </ul>
29	Compl. at 1-2, Exs. A-E. <sup>8</sup>
30	Respondents deny the allegations, asserting that all of these communications fail
31	the Commission's test for coordinated communications and therefore do not constitute

contributions to Wilson-Foley and the Committee. Wilson-Foley & Committee Resp.

This posting appears to be from Wilson-Foley's personal Facebook account, not her candidate account. See Compl. at 1, Ex. A; Wilson-Foley & Committee Resp. at 8.

At the same time, the Complaint states that the Committee website does not muntion any of Wilson-Foley's companies by name. Compl. at 1-2, Ex. F.

- at 6-9; All Star & Blue Fox Resp. at 2-3 (Mar. 15, 2012); Apple Health Resp. at 2-4
- 2 (June 4, 2012).

## B. <u>Legal Analysis</u>

- 4 Under the Act, no person may make a contribution, including an in-kind
- 5 contribution, to a candidate and the candidate's authorized political committee with
- 6 respect to any election for Federal office that, in the 2012 election cycle, in the aggregate
- 7 exceeded \$2,500. 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1)(A); see 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(A); 11 C.F.R.
- 8 § 100.52(d)(1) (defining "contribution" to include in-kind contributions). No candidate
- 9 or political committee may knowingly accept a contribution in violation of section 441a.
- 10 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f). The Act and Commission regulations require political committees to
- 11 report all contributions received, whether monetary or in-kind, during a given reporting
- period. See 2 U.S.C. § 434(b); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3. Corporations are prohibited from
- making any contributions in connection with a federal election, and candidates and
- political committees are prohibited from knowingly accepting corporate contributions.
- 15 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a). The Act defines in-kind contributions as, inter alia, expenditures by
- any person "in cooperation, consultation, or concert, with, or at the request or suggestion
- of, a candidate, his authorized political committees, or their agents . . . . 2 U.S.C.
- 18 § 441a(a)(7)(B)(i); 11 C.F.R. § 109.20(a).
- 19 Under Commission regulations, a communication is coordinated with a candidate,
- an authorized committee, a political party committee, or an agent thereof if it meets a
- 21 three-part test: (1) it is paid for, in whole or in part, by a third party (a person other than
- 22 the candidate, authorized committee or political party committee); (2) it satisfies at least
- one of the five "content" standards described in 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(c); and (3) satisfies at

MUR 6522 (Lisa Wilson-Foley for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 6

- least one of the six "conduct" standards described in 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(d). 11 C.F.R.
- 2 § 109.21(a).
- The content prong is satisfied if the communication at issue meets at least one of
- 4 the following content standards: (1) a communication that is an electioneering
- 5 communication under 11 C.F.R. § 100.29; (2) a public communication that disseminates,
- 6 distributes, or republishes, in whole or in part, campaign materials prepared by a
- 7 candidate or the candidate's authorized committee; (3) a public communication that
- 8 expressly advocates the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate for federal
- 9 office; (4) a public communication, in relevant part, that refers to a clearly identified
- House or Senate candidate, and is publicly distributed or disseminated in the clearly
- identified candidate's jurisdiction 90 days or fewer before the candidate's primary
- election; or (5) a public communication that is the functional equivalent of express
- advocacy. Id. § 109.21(c)(1)-(5). The term "public communication" encompasses
- broadcast, cable or satellite communication, newspaper, magazine, outdoor advertising
- facility, mass mailing or telephone bank, or any other form of general public political
- advertising, and excludes communications over the internet, except for communications
- placed for a fee on another person's web site. *Id.* § 100.26.
- 18 The Apple Health television ads that feature Wilson-Foley were paid for by Apple
- 19 Health and therefore satisfy the payment prong. See id. § 109.21(a)(1); Wilson-Foley
- 20 & Committee Resp. at 6. The ads, however, fail the content prong.

1	The record reflects that the ads aired more than 90 days before the May 14, 2012,
2	convention election9 and thus constitute neither electioneering communications nor
3	public communications satisfying section 109.21(c)(4)(i). See 11 C.F.R. § 109.21(c)(1),
4	(c)(4). Nor do the ads, which focus on Apple Health's services, contain express
5	advocacy or its functional equivalent. See id. §§ 100.22, 109.21(c)(3), (c)(5). Finally,
6	the ads do not appear to republish campaign materials. See id. § 109.21(c)(2).
7	Thus, because the Apple Health television ads fail the content prong, they are not
8	coordinated communications. See id. § 109.21(a).
9	Based on the available information, it also appears that the other communications
10	- YouTube postings of Apple Health ads, All Star and Blue Fox Facebook postings, and
11	All Star's website featuring Wilson-Foley and the Committee — fail the content prong.
12	These communications are internet communications that do not appear to have been
13	placed for a fee on another's website; are not public communications; and are not
14	electioneering communications. Thus, the communications also do not satisfy any of the
15	content standards. See 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.26, 109.21(c)(1)-(c)(5).10
16	Accordingly, it appears that the communications of Apple Health, All Star, and
17	Blue Fox do not constitute coordinated communications and thus these companies did no
18	make, and Wilson-Foley and the Committee did not receive, or fail to disclose, corporate

According to respondents, the sde did not air after January 27, 2012. See Aff. of Kevin Bauer, Apple Health Media Consultant, Wilson-Foley & Committee Resp., Ex. C § 8. Each Congressional district in Connecticut holds a party nominating convention that the Commission has recognized as an election separate from the primary and general elections. See Advisory Op. 1976-58 (Peterson); Advisory Op. 2004-20 (Farrell).

The Wilson-Foley & Committee response also argues that posting a "Like" of the Committee on the Blue Fox Facebook page is not a coordinated communication because there is no payment and thus it fails the payment prong. Resp. at 9.

MUR 6522 (Lisa Wilson-Foley for Congress, e	t al.)
First General Counsel's Report	•
Page 8	

- 1 or excessive contributions. 11 We therefore recommend that the Commission find no
- 2 reason to believe that Apple Health or Blue Fox violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a), that All Star
- 3 violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a) or 441a(a), that Wilson-Foley violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a)
- 4 or 441a(f), or that the Committee violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a), 441a(f) or 434(b).

## III. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Find no reason to believe that Apple Health Care, Inc., violated 2 U.S.C § 441b(a);

2. Find no reason to believe that All Star Therapy, LLC, violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a) or 441a(a);

3. Find no reason to believe that Blue Fox Enterprises, Inc., violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a);

4. Find no reason to believe that Lisa Wilson-Foley violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a) or 441a(f);

5. Find no reason to believe that Lisa Wilson-Foley for Congress violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 441b(a), 441a(f) or 434(b);

6. Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analysis;

24 7. Close the file; and

All Star, as a limited liability company, may be considered a partnership or a corporation for purposes of making contributions, depending on how it elects to be treated by the IRS. See 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(g). We have no information as to how All Star elects to be treated by the IRS.

MUR 6522 (Lisa Wilson-Foley for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 9

1		
2	8. Approve the appropriate	e letters.
3		
4		Anthony Herman
5		General Counsel
6		
7	1-1-0	.\
8	2/5/13	BY:
9	Date	Daniel A. Petalas
10		Associate General Counsel
11		for Enforcement
12		
13		)+1)(
14		give 10 V
15		Peter G. Blumberg
16		Assistant General Counsel
17		
18		14 A D CLAD
19		While alle
20		Mark Allen
21		Attorney
22		•
23	Attachment	
24	Factual and Legal Analysis	
25	Ç	